

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘*for publication*’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Paternity of C.C.K., Lori Marie Yeakey v. Monica Nicole Krych	71A03-0508-JV-363	2-13-06	May, J. Friedlander, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Christopher E. Reinbrecht v. Deborah J. Reinbrecht	63A01-0505-CV-235	2-13-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Ulysses Jackson v. State of Indiana	48A04-0505-CR-255	2-13-06	Robb, J. Kirsch, C.J. May, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Term. Of Parent-Child Rel. of J.M., S.M., and Z.M., Marcina Malaves v. Porter Co. Dept of Child Services	64A04-0510-JV-567	2-13-06	May, J. Crone, J. Friedlander, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Alfred "Alfie" Thompson v. Eric Hayman, Chad Thompson, and Ace Excavating, LLC	67A01-0506-CV-252	2-13-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Christopher Rittenberry v. Chasity Hopkins	49A04-0508-CV-467	2-13-06	Vaidik, J. Robb, J. Mathias, J.	Appeal Dismissed Concurs Concurs
N.B. v. State of Indiana	52A02-0509-JV-907	2-13-06	May, J. Crone, J. Friedlander, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Charles W. Henke v. State of Indiana	03A05-0502-PC-89	2-13-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Hollie E. Butler v. State of Indiana	55A01-0503-CR-115	2-13-06	May, J. Friedlander, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
John Strong v. State of Indiana	36A01-0507-CR-317	2-13-06	May, J. Friedlander, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Involuntary Term. Of Parent-Child Rel. of E.B., Ricky Jones v. Marion Co. Office of Family and Children and Child Advocates, Inc.	49A05-0506-JV-354	2-13-06	Mathias, J. Robb, J. Vaidik, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Shannon L. Robinson v. Amanda M. Robinson	72A01-0508-CV-354	2-13-06	Friedlander, J. Crone, J. May, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Latrice S. Hill v. Review Board of the Indiana Dept. of Workforce Develop. and White Lodging Services Corp.	93A02-0506-EX-550	2-13-06	Friedlander, J. Crone, J. May, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
JY Design & Print, Inc. v. Mid-City Office Systems, Inc. and Canon U.S.A., Inc.	17A05-0411-CV-624	2-13-06	May, J. Sharpnack, J. Vaidik, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Robert A. Marini v. Diana J. Marini	45A03-0502-CV-49	2-14-06	Darden, J. Sharpnack, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Lashonda Harris v. State of Indiana	49A04-0505-CR-264	2-14-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Douglas St. Peters v. Rosemarie Milto	49A04-0507-CV-397	2-14-06	Riley, J. Sharpnack, J. Barnes, J.	Affirmed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Crystal Cash v. State of Indiana	82A01-0506-CR-283	2-14-06	Kirsch, C.J. Sullivan, J. Darden, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Delmas Sexton, II v. John R. Vannatta	52A05-0502-CV-85	2-14-06	Darden, J. Sharpnack, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Alva Leslie Funk v. State of Indiana	79A02-0503-PC-187	2-14-06	Darden, J. Sharpnack, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs in result

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Oscar Harris v. State of Indiana	49A02-0507-CR-591	2-14-06	Darden, J. Kirsch, C.J. Sullivan, J.	Affirmed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Young S. Koo v. John D. Breclaw	64A03-0506-CV-258	2-14-06	Darden, J. Sharpnack, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Shawn Penick v. Cynthia (Penick) Haskell	78A01-0506-CV-246	2-14-06	Darden, J. Kirsch, C.J. Sullivan, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Berry Preston Stone v. State of Indiana	82A05-0506-PC-308	2-15-06	Baker, J. Najam, J. Bailey, J.	Remanded Concurs Concurs
Scott Kerssemakers v. State of Indiana	79A04-0507-CR-404	2-15-06	Mathias, J. Robb, J. Vaidik, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
William E. Hunter v. State of Indiana	52A02-0508-CR-760	2-15-06	Crone, J. Friedlander, J. May, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs in result w/o opinion
Justin Tracano v. State of Indiana	68A01-0503-CR-116	2-15-06	Friedlander, J. Sullivan, J. Vaidik, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Carl Croom v. State of Indiana	49A05-0507-PC-414	2-15-06	Crone, J. Friedlander, J. May, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
D.L. v. B.H., H.E.C., and C.C.	02A03-0506-CV-298	2-15-06	Sharpnack, J. Riley, J. Barnes, J.	Reversed Concurs Concurs
Jeffrey Allen Thomas v. State of Indiana	82A01-0505-CR-184	2-15-06	Sharpnack, J. Riley, J. Barnes, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Invol. Term. Of M.H., and Myron Tools v. Marion Co. Office of Family/Children and Child Advocates, Inc.	49A02-0508-JV-689	2-15-06	Friedlander, J. May, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
James E. Berry v. State of Indiana	48A02-0508-CR-699	2-15-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Earl Egner v. State of Indiana	60A04-0508-PC-433	2-15-06	Sharpnack, J. Riley, J. Barnes, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Michael Taylor v. State of Indiana	49A02-0504-CR-291	2-15-06	Sullivan, J. Friedlander, J. Vaidik, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Johnny Moore v. State of Indiana	45A04-0506-CR-314	2-15-06	Vaidik, J. Robb, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Jeffrey Ward v. State of Indiana	49A02-0506-CR-563	2-15-06	Vaidik, J. Robb, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Billy Travis v. State of Indiana	82A05-0506-CR-334	2-15-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Tamara Broady v. Denton Broady	03A01-0508-CV-356	2-15-06	Friedlander, J. May, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
William O'Brien v. State of Indiana	49A02-0505-CR-439	2-15-06	Sharpnack, J. Riley, J. Barnes, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Carlos M. Jackson v. State of Indiana	15A01-0505-CR-205	2-15-06	Vaidik, J. Robb, J. Mathias, J.	Reversed Concurs Concurs
Finest Davis v. State of Indiana	49A04-0407-CR-355	2-15-06	Mathias, J. Robb, J. Vaidik, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
James Delaney and Dana Moon v. Delaware Co. Div. of Family/Children	18A02-0506-JV-521	2-15-06	Robb, J. Vaidik, J. Mathias, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Susannah Nethercutt v. State of Indiana	18A04-0507-CR-415	2-16-06	Najam, J. Baker, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘*for publication*’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Sam Smith v. Larry Smith, Joanne Smith	54A01-0506-CV-277	2-16-06	Barnes, J. Najam, J. Crone, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Capitol Speedway, Inc. and Terry P. Gerstbauer v. Stephen W. Styers	50A03-0409-CV-390	2-16-06	Najam, J. Riley, J. Sullivan, J.	We Reaffirm our memorandum decision. Concurs Concurs in result as to Issue One and concurs as to Issue Two.
Charles Durham v. State of Indiana	49A02-0508-CR-747	2-16-06	Najam, J. Baker, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Richard Mahnken, Jr. and Sally Mahnken v. Carl Regis Brandon and Sandra W. Brandon, Tom Gaither, John Quake	43A03-0507-CV-309	2-16-06	Vaidik, J. Sullivan, J. Friedlander, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Nathan Baker v. State of Indiana	49A02-0502-CR-117	2-16-06	Vaidik, J. Robb, J. Mathias, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
Douglas R. Painter v. State of Indiana	01A02-0508-CR-787	2-16-06	Najam, J. Baker, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
Karen S. Wienhorst v. Kurt W. Wienhorst	64A03-0503-CV-101	2-16-06	Mathias, J. Baker, J. Riley, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs

**DISPOSITION OF CASE BY UNPUBLISHED MEMORANDUM DECISION
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA
INDIANA RULES OF PROCEDURE, APPELLATE RULE 15(A)(3) AND APPELLATE RULE 65(D) PROVIDES:**

Appellate Rule 15(A)(3) - effective prior to January 1, 2001

“Unless specifically designated ‘for publication’, memorandum decisions shall not be published nor shall they be regarded as precedent nor cited before any court except for purposes of establishing the defense of Res Judicata, collateral estoppel or law of the case.”

Appellate Rule 65(D) – effective January 1, 2001

“Unless later designated for publication, a not-for-publication memorandum decision shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited to any court except by the parties to the case to establish *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.”

NAME OF THE CASE	CAUSE NUMBER	DATE	JUDGES	DISPOSITION
Patrick Stevens v. State of Indiana	49A02-0501-CR-58	2-17-06	Darden, J. Sharpnack, J. Bailey, J.	Affirmed Concurs Concurs
City of Gary, et al v. Enterprise Trucking & Waste Hauling, Inc., et al	45A03-0506-CV-251	2-17-06	Sharpnack, J. Darden, J. Bailey, J.	Reversed and Remanded Concurs Concurs
*** TAX COURT *** Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue	49T10-0504-TA-41	2-16-06	Fisher, J.	The Court denies Starwood's motion for summary judgment w/ respect to the issue of control; the Court grants summary judgment in favor of Starwood w/ respect to the other issues.